ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	M126911 12/22/10 12/29/10 12/29/10 Water
Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Cli Pro Lal Da Ins Op

% Recovery:

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: Metro Self Monitor M126911

Upper

Limit:

125

Lab ID: 012262-01 x10
Data File: 012262-01 x10.021
Instrument: ICPMS1

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Lower

Limit:

60

Germanium 77

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 1,030

 Nickel
 1,290

 Copper
 1,020

 Zinc
 24.3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor M126911 Date Extracted: 12/29/10 Lab ID: I0-743 mb I0-743 mb.010 Date Analyzed: 12/29/10 Data File: Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1

Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: \mathbf{AP}

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 60 125 95

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/03/11 Date Received: 12/22/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor M126911, F&BI 012262

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 012310-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result MS		MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.03	111	109	67-132	2
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.18	108	101	73-119	7
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.56	107	106	50-144	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	4.96	103	102	46-148	1

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	108	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	109	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	57-135

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Send Report To	III	200500)		SAME	LBRS (sianature)				_						ruri	NAROUN	of		
			PROJ	ECT NA	ME/NO.						PC) #	- 1	☐ Standard (2, Weeks)						
Address 628 S. Hanfind St		ME	EMO	SECF	M	~, 1	ur_		M	12	6911		Rush charges authorized by:							
Maria de la companya				REMA										-	SAMPLE DISPOSAL					
City, State, ZIP Sesorce und 98134								☐ Dispose					e after 30 days samples							
Phone # 206-571-60	33 Fax # 20	06-582-4	1308						200									tructions		
											ANA	LYS	_	QUES	TED					
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Samp	ole Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	Cu 1821					Notes		
				<u> </u>			T	E	BTE	>	SV	_		4	_		<u> </u>			
M126911	01	12/22/10	12:30Pm	Ha	20_								1	\perp				- 315-5		
W 34					拼															
					V															
*					8		W										Ī			
			•						7		1	7		1	1	\top	1	****		
											7	7	-		+-	1				
									\dashv	+	\dashv	+	-		-	-				
				-			\dashv		-	\dashv	+	\dashv	+	+	-	+				
riedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGNATUR	RE	-		PRINT	NAN	/E			-1	ب	CON	/IPAN		1	DATE	TIME		
3012 16th Avenue West				(SCHOOL Thompson A Ca								7	2/21/2							
Seattle, WA 98119-	Received by: Gul Gul				Whan Phan FeB						H		_	مالحط						
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished b																			
Fax (206) 283-5044 DRMS\COC\COC.DOC	Received by:																			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S.

3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

January 3, 2011

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on December 22, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor M126911, F&BI 012262 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0103R.DOC